Bhutan with effect from 1st July, 1988. The salary of all the employees including local ones is being currently paid by the Royal Government of Bhutan.

Damage to railway bridges due to earthquake

4143. SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the recent earthquake in the North Eastern region caused damage to railway tracks in several areas;

(b) whether Government undertook investigation into the condition of the railway bridges over the rivers in the affected areas, after the earthquake; and

(c) if so, what is the total number of bridges over rivers found unsafe?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MAHABIR PRASAD): (a) Railway track in some areas was damaged.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Post-earthquakt investigations revealed that all the bridges were safe, except 10 bridges, which had suffered minor damages and needed some attention and/or imposition of temporary speed restrictions, before traffic could safely be resumed.

Sanctioning of scooter advance by Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan to its Employees

4144. SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what was the number of applicants for grant of Scooter advance in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, during the preceding financial year;

(b) what are the details of those who have been sanctioned Scooter advance during 1987 and 1988;

(c) whether there is any difference in the amount of advance sanctioned to each of the employees in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan and Ministries; and

(d) if so, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P SHAHI): (a) 435 applications were received for grant of Scooter Advance during the financial year 1987-88.

(b) During the period from 1.1.1987 to 31.12 1987, 122 employees were sanctioned scooter advance and in 1988, from 1.1.1988 to 31.3.1988, 5 employees were sanctioned scooter advance.

(c) and (d) Generally the amount of Scooter advance given to the employees of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan is the same as admissible to the Central Government employees and is subject to the fulfilment of the same conditions. The rate of scooter advance increased by the Government of India in July, 1987 has been made applicable by the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan in April, 1988.

Terms and conditions of posting of teachers of Kendriya Vidyalayas, Leh and Port Blair

4145. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what are the terms and conditions on which Kendriya Vidyalayas' teachers are posted to Kendriya Vidyalaya, Leh (Ladakh) and Port Blair;

(b) what is the procedure and criteria of selection/identification of teachers posted to these Vidyalayas;

(c) whether any special allowances/facilities are admissible to these teachers; and

(d) if so, what are the details?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) to (d) No separate terms and conditions have been laid down for posting of teachers in Leh (Ladakh) and Port Blair. The Sangathan posts such teachers to these stations as are considered suitable to work there. These teachers are entitled to such special benefits as are admissible to the Central Government employees posted there.

C.B.I. case against a principal and teachers of Kendriya Vidyalaya, Udhampur

4146. SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that C.B.I. had registered a case of alleged irregularities in the LTC availed by the Principal and some teachers of Kendriya Vidyalaya, Udhampur in J & K, about 4-5 years back; and

(b) if so, the details of the alleged irregularities and the persons involved in the irregularities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) and (b) CBI registered a case on 17th December, 1987 against Shri K. K. Sarthi, the then Principal and Shri H. L. Kamal, Head Clerk of the Kendriya Vidyalaya, Udhampur alleging misappropriation of Vidyalayas funds.

Manhandling of a Group 'D' employee by the principal, Kendriya Vidyalaya, Gurgaon

4147. SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Principal Kendriya Vidyalaya, Gurgaon allegedly assaulted/manhandled a group 'D' employee of the Vidyalaya a couple of weeks ago; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what are the details of action taken or proposed to be taken against the erring official?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI L. P. SHAHI): (a) and (b) In a memorandum submitted by the staff of the Kendriya Vidyalaya, Gurgaon on 2nd August, 1988 it has *inter alia* been alleged that the Principal, Kendriya Vidyalaya, Gurgaon manhandled a group 'D' employee on 1st August 1988.

(c) An enquiry has been ordered.

Approved medical specialists of Delhi University and hospitals of Delhi Administration

4148. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1865 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 10th August, 1988 and state:

(a) what are the names of the hospitals approved by the Delhi Administration where the teaching staff of the Delhi University and its colleges are entitled for classified specialist consultants, laboratory tests etc., referred by the medical practitioners empanelled with the Delhi University;

(b) what are the names, addresses, qualification of private medical practitioners, including classified specialists, empanelled with the Delhi University including recognised by the Delhi Administration; and

(c) whether fees for laboratory tests including X-Ray, sound scaning etc, are reimbursed for the teaching staff of Delhi University and its colleges prescribed by the empanelled medical practitioners if so, what are the details thereof, if not what